

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2024.v24.no.2.397

EFFECT OF REPLACING UREA TOP DRESSING BY NANO UREA ON GROWTH AND ECONOMICS OF DIRECT SEEDED RICE (ORYZA SATIVA L.) UNDER RAINFED CONDITION

Tanu Oinam, L. Nabachandra Singh, K. Nandini Devi, N. Anando Singh, Punabati Heisnam*, Richa Kalita, M. Junjariya, S. Alam, Aman Kumar, A.K. Bhagat, N. Surbala Devi and N. Gopimohan Singh

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal - 791 004, Manipur, India. *Corresponding author E-mail : punabatiheisnam@gmail.com Poastal address: Thangmeiband Hijam Devan Leikai, Imphal-West - 795 004, Manipur, India.

(Date of Receiving-30-06-2024; Date of Acceptance-13-09-2024)

A field experiment was conducted on clay soils during *kharif* season 2023 at the research farm, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Iroisemba, Imphal to evaluate the study possibility of replacing urea top dressing by nano urea and its efficacy. The initial soil of the experimental field was acidic with pH 5.25. The study was replicated thrice and employed a randomized block design with seven treatments. To verify the objective observations on growth parameters and economics were recorded. The results indicated that replacing urea top dressing by nano urea had significant effect on the growth parameters and economics. Highest growth attributes and net returns were observed under Top Dress with Urea at Active Tillering + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Panicle Initiation + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Heading (T_6) while the lowest values were observed in Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Active Tillering + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Panicle Initiation (T_2). With regards to nutrient uptake (NPK), the said treatment (T_6) was found the highest. On the basis of the above findings, it can be inferred that foliar application of nano urea twice at panicle initiation and heading stages can effectively replace urea top dressing at panicle initiation stage of crop growth.

Key words : Rice, Nitrogen, Urea, Nano urea, Foliar spray.

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the main staple food for over half the population of the world producing highest next to wheat with a record of 520.5 mt produced in 2022 (Anonymous, 2022). In India rice is grown in an area of 43.79 million ha⁻¹ producing 112.91 million tonnes with an average productivity of 2578 kg ha⁻¹ (Singh *et al.*, 2020). Due to issues of water scarcity and expensive labour, direct seeded rice cultivation technology is becoming popular. Direct seeded rice is a resource conservation technology and reduces water and labor use by 50%. Productivity of DSR is 5-10% more than the yield of transplanted rice. It offers a very exhilarating opportunity to improve water and environmental sustainability (Marasini *et al.*, 2016).

Nitrogen continues to be the "kingpin" of the nutrient

kingdom and its management is a critical issue to be addressed across the globe including in India. It plays a key role in photosynthetic activity, and crop yield and significantly increases agriculture production (50%), being a constituent of protein, it increases the food value as well. It plays a key role in photosynthetic activity, and crop yield and significantly increases agriculture production (50%), being a constituent of protein, it increases the food value as well. Nevertheless, soil N supply is often limited (Kumar et al., 2017), which forces farmers to increase the amount of N fertilizers in order to accomplish better crop yield. However, farmers may provoke nitrogen over fertilization, which effect optimum plant productivity (Shrestha et al., 2020), as plants are not able to absorb the excess of N-fertilizer. Since a major portion of added N got lost through leaching, volatilization,

and denitrification, the N use efficiency of crops hardly exceeds 30-35% (Ladha *et al.*, 2005).

Nanotechnology is the promising field with its extensive applications in biotechnology, pharmaceutical science, nano-medication and other research territories (Chandana et al., 2021). Nano-Urea provide more surface area for different metabolic reactions in the plant which increase rate of photosynthesis and produce more dry matter and yield of the crop. It also helps in preventing plants from different biotic and abiotic stress (Baboo et al., 2021). The primary target of nano fertilizers in field of agronomy is to increase the plant nutrient use efficiency and diminish losses of nutrients (Ingale et al., 2013). It would be exceptionally useful if we use nano fertilizer in rice crops to limit the potential negative impacts realized by the broad utilization of synthetic fertilizers without bargaining production and nourishing advantages (Benzon et al., 2015). With this background in view the present research will be conducted at the Research Farm of College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal during kharif season, 2023 with the objective of to study the effect of replacing urea top dressing by nano urea on growth and soil parameters of direct seeded rice (Oryza sativa L.)

Materials and Methods

The experimental site is situated at 24°45' N latitude and longitude of 93°54' E with an altitude of 774.5 m above mean sea level. The experimental area comes under the Eastern Himalayan Region (II) and the agro climatic zone is Sub Tropical Zone (NEH-4) of Manipur (Experimental Agromet Advisory Service ICAR Complex for NEH Region, Manipur Centre, Lamphelpat, Imphal). The soil of the experimental field was clayey in texture with pH of 5.25, containing organic carbon 1.43 %, available N 275.34 kg/ha, P₂O₅ 16.89 kg/ha and K₂O 276.32 kg/ha (Annexure-1). The study was replicated three times and employed a randomized block design with seven treatments viz., T₁: Top Dress with Urea at Active Tillering + Top Dress with Urea at Panicle Initiation, T₂: Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Active Tillering + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Panicle Initiation, T₃: Top Dress with Urea at Active Tillering + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Panicle Initiation, T₄: Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Active Tillering + Top Dress with Urea at Panicle Initiation, T₅: Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Active Tillering + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Panicle Initiation + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Heading, T₆: Top Dress with Urea at Active Tillering + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Panicle Initiation + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Heading, T₇: Foliar Spray with Nano Urea

Annexure 1 : Chemical properties of the experimental soil (initial).

S.	Parameter	Value	Interpretation
no.			
1	pH	5.25	Acidic
2	Organic carbon (%)	1.43	High
3	Sand (%)	9.65	Clay soil
	Silt(%)	15.68	
	Clay(%)	74.67	
4	Available nitrogen (kg/ha)	275.34	Low
5	Available phosphorus (P_2O_5)	16.89	Low
	(kg/ha)		
6	Available potassium (K ₂ O)	276.32	Medium
	(kg/ha)		

at Active Tillering + Top Dress with Urea at Panicle Initiation + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Heading, in randomized block design replicated thrice. All treatments are given equal basal dose of NPK (20:40:30 kg ha⁻¹), urea top dressing is given in two equal split doses at active tillering stage (35 DAS) and/or panicle initiation (50 DAS) @ 20kg N ha⁻¹ each as per treatment and foliar spray of nano urea is given at the concentration of 0.4% as per treatment.

The growth parameters namely, plant height (cm), number of leaves per hill, leaf area per hill, Leaf Area Index number of tillers per hill, fresh weight and dry weight of whole plant (g/plant) were recorded at 30, 60, 90 DAS and harvest stages. The economics (cost of cultivation, gross return, net return, benefit cost ratio) were observed. The texture of the soil is also determined before the start of the experiment. Initial and post-harvest soil parameters namely, pH, organic carbon (%), available N (kg/ha), available P_2O_5 , available K₂O were also recorded.

Results and Discussion

Effects of top dressing of nano urea on the growth parameters

The results in Table 1 reveals that all the stages of the growth parameters except 30 DAS was found to be insignificant. At 60 DAS, T_1 treatment recorded to be highest in plant height (64.04 cm), number of leaves per hill (18.36), leaf area per hill (666.85 cm²) and leaf area index (2.22) which was at par with the plot treated with T_6 . At 90 DAS and harvest the maximum plant height (116.63 cm at 90 DAS; 115.87 cm at harvest), number of leaves per hill (33.40 at 90 DAS; 33.07 at harvest, leaf area per hill (1979.54 cm² at 90 DAS) and leaf area index (6.60 at 90 DAS) was found to be highest in the treatment T_6 . The lowest growth parameters are reported

(0r.	yza sativa L) under rai	infed condit	ion.										
Treatments		Plant hei	ight (cm)		Ź	umber of le	aves per hi	Π	LeafA	rea per hill	l (cm ²)		IAI	
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
$T_{_{1}}$	30.87	64.04	113.90	113.11	9.57	18.36	30.61	30.28	64.74	666.85	1699.74	0.32	2.22	5.67
\mathbf{T}_2	30.60	57.17	101.97	101.15	10.37	14.78	21.21	20.87	72.32	398.57	1010.33	0.36	1.33	3.37
\mathbf{T}_{3}	31.03	59.73	110.90	109.63	10.63	15.40	27.58	27.24	71.68	492.31	1465.73	0.36	1.64	4.89
T_4	30.87	59.10	106.93	106.03	10.70	15.33	24.96	24.63	79.93	470.58	1281.11	0.40	1.57	4.27
T_{5}	30.50	57.15	104.77	103.93	10.53	15.18	23.60	23.60	78.29	421.54	1211.4	0.39	1.41	4.04
T_6	31.37	62.90	116.63	115.87	11.23	17.54	33.40	33.07	79.43	608.59	1979.54	0.40	2.01	6.60
\mathbf{T}_{7}	30.73	61.87	114.12	113.21	10.43	16.29	30.75	30.42	74.85	563.42	1784.71	0.37	1.88	5.95
SEd (±)	0.98	0.63	1.02	1.14	0.83	0.59	1.15	1.27	12.98	35.08	48.92	0.06	0.10	0.16
C.D. 5%	SN	1.38	2.22	2.48	SN	1.28	2.51	2.77	SN	76.42	106.60	SN	0.22	0.36

to have in a plot treated with T_2 in all the stages of crops.

Growth parameters in Table 2 found that all the stages in growth parameters is significant except at 30 DAS. At 60 DAS, T_1 treatment recorded to be highest in number of tillers per hill (12.75), fresh weight of whole plant (54.40 g/hill) and dry weight of whole plant (19.69 g/hill) which was followed by the treatment T_6 . At 90 DAS and harvest, T_6 treatment found to have maximum number of tillers per hill (19.33 at 90 DAS; 19.29 at harvest), fresh weight of whole plant (94.15 g/hill at 90 DAS; 126.22 g/hill at harvest) and dry weight of whole plant (28.71 g/hill at 90 DAS; 42.44 g/hill at harvest). T_2 treatment is found to have lowest growth parameters in all the stages of crops.

The effect of foliar application of nano urea on increased growth parameters might be due to the increase in availability and readily absorption of nano sized nitrogen particle which has more surface area for absorption. Unutilized nitrogen remains stored in plant vacuole which is slowly released for proper plant growth and development which eventually help in cell elongation and photosynthetic rate is increased. Exogenous application of nano particles can greatly boost plant growth resulting increase in number of leaves per plant, leaf area and LAI, number of tillers. It may be due to the fact that nano-fertilizers have high reactivity because of having features like higher specific surface area, more density of areas, or increased reactivity of these areas on the particle surfaces which eventually simplify their absorption in plants. The results were in conformity with the finding of Benzon et al. (2005), Kumar et al. (2017), Sahu et al. (2022).

Effects of top dressing of nano urea on soil parameters

In soil parameters *i.e.*, pH, organic carbon (%), available P₂O₅ (kg/ha), available K₂O (kg/ha) were found to be insignificant and soil parameter available nitrogen (kg/ha) was found to be significant (Table 3). Results on Soil pH is found to be highest in the plots treated with T_{2} (5.19) and T_5 (5.19) where the lowest is under T_1 (5.13). Whereas, the plots treated with T₁ is found to have highest valued of Organic cardon (1.42), soil available N (245.25 kg/ha) and P_2O_5 (27.78 kg/ha) and minimum value is recorded under T₃ (organic carbon) and T₂ (soil available N and P₂O5). In case of soil available K₂O, highest was recorded in T₆ treatment (212.93 kg/ha), while lowest under T₂ treatment (195.96 kg/ha). In comparison to conventional fertilizers, the slow-release nature of nano fertilizers in rice reduces leaching and atmospheric losses, minimizing fixation and microbial conversion of soil

Table 1: Plant height (cm), number of leaves per hill, leaf area per hill, leaf area index per hill as influenced by replacing urea top dressing by nano urea in direct seeded rice



Fig. 1 : Soil available nitrogen (kg/ha), P₂O₅ (kg/ha) and K₂O (kg/ha) as influenced as influenced by replacing urea top dressing by nano urea in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under rainfed condition.

 Table 2: Number of tillers per hill, fresh weight of whole plant (g/plant), dry weight of whole plant (g/plant) as influenced by replacing urea top dressing by nano urea in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under rainfed condition.

Treatments	Number of tillers per hill				Fresh weight of whole plant (g/hill)				Dry Weight of whole plant (g/hill)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
T ₁	5.80	12.75	18.09	18.07	9.97	54.40	91.52	122.86	3.07	19.69	26.25	38.96
T ₂	5.77	9.46	15.07	15.04	9.53	47.02	84.46	115.18	2.90	14.77	19.72	31.30
T ₃	5.97	10.52	17.11	17.03	9.83	49.41	89.19	120.17	3.00	16.08	24.28	36.29
T ₄	6.00	10.39	16.15	16.01	9.00	49.32	86.58	117.45	2.97	16.04	21.82	33.62
T ₅	6.03	9.49	16.04	15.96	9.80	47.47	87.20	118.08	3.07	15.10	22.25	34.07
T ₆	6.10	12.10	19.33	19.29	9.17	53.17	94.15	126.22	3.13	17.87	28.71	42.44
T ₇	5.97	11.56	18.39	18.26	9.50	52.36	91.81	123.44	3.10	17.57	26.63	39.55
SEd (±)	0.31	0.36	0.33	0.38	1.23	0.83	0.89	0.92	0.22	0.83	0.83	0.86
C.D 5%	NS	0.79	0.73	0.82	NS	1.82	1.95	2.00	NS	1.82	1.80	1.87

 Table 3: Soil pH, organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium as influenced by replacing urea top dressing by nano urea in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under rainfed condition.

Treatments	pH	Organic carbon (%)	Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	Available K ₂ O (kg/ha)
T ₁	5.13	1.42	245.25	27.78	205.04
T ₂	5.19	1.41	227.58	24.96	195.96
T ₃	5.16	1.37	236.12	25.18	198.60
T ₄	5.18	1.38	237.30	25.88	197.66
T ₅	5.19	1.37	228.51	27.66	193.97
T ₆	5.16	1.40	241.78	26.97	212.93
T ₇	5.15	1.41	239.45	26.71	201.38
SEd (±)	0.02	0.02	3.41	1.15	3.58
C.D 5%	NS	NS	7.42	NS	NS

nutrients. Moreover, there is enhancement in some soil biochemical processes such as nitrification and which ultimately increases the available soil nitrogen. Similar findings were reported by Naderi and Danesh-Shahraki (2013), León-Silva *et al.* (2018), Thirunuvukkarasu and Subramanian (2021).

Tanu Oinam et al.



Fig. 2: Economics as influenced by replacing urea top dressing by nano urea in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under rainfed condition.

 Table 4: Economics as influenced by replacing urea top dressing by nano urea in direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under rainfed condition.

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (`/ha)	Gross returns (`/ha)	Net returns (`/ha)	Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)
T ₁	60209.16	142201.00	81991.84	1.36
T ₂	60701.64	124807.50	64105.86	1.06
T ₃	60455.52	136782.00	76326.48	1.26
T ₄	60455.52	131364.50	70908.98	1.17
T ₅	61893.64	129606.50	67712.86	1.09
T ₆	61647.52	150442.50	88794.98	1.44
T ₇	61647.52	144245.50	82597.98	1.34

Effects of top dressing of nano urea on economics

Data pertaining to economics was presented in Table 4. Lowest cost of cultivation was recorded with T. (60209.16 \ /ha). Higher cost of cultivation was noticed under T₅ (61893.64 ` /ha). Maximum gross return $(150442.50 \ /ha)$, net return T₆ (88794.98 \ /ha) and B:C ratio T_6 (1.44) were noticed under T_6 and the minimum gross return (124807.50 \ /ha), net return (64105.86 \cdot /ha) and B:C ratio (1.06) were recorded under T₂. Highest net return was recorded from the treatment T_6 , which is due to higher grain and straw yield from the said treatment. Lowest net returns were recorded in T_2 , which may be attributed to lower grain and straw yield recorded by the treatment. This might be due to which was the significant difference in grain and straw yield of rice crop and cost of fertilizers incurred at different treatments. The results were in conformity with the findings of Rahman et al. (2017), Velmurugan et al. (2021), Velmurugan et al. (2021), Yadav et al. (2021), Attri et al. (2022), Sahu et al. (2022).

Benefit cost ratio of T_6 was highest that could be due to higher net returns. Lowest benefit cost ratio was found on T_2 which may be due to higher cost of cultivation and low yield of crop. Similar results were reported by Kumar *et al.* (2014), Rahman *et al.* (2017), Yadav *et al.* (2021), Attri *et al.* (2022), Sahu *et al.* (2022).

Conclusion

The treatment Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Active Tillering + Top Dress with Urea at Panicle Initiation + Foliar Spray with Nano Urea at Heading (T_6) was found to be the best treatment on replacing urea top dressing by nano urea in Direct Seeded Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under rainfed condition as it achieved highest values with regard to growth parameters and economics (gross return, net return, B:C ratio). Therefore, it can be inferred that foliar application of nano urea twice at the panicle initiation and heading stages of rice growth can effectively replace urea top dressing at panicle initiation stage.

Acknowledgment

Authors are thankful to Dean, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur for providing all the necessary facilities required for conducting the experiment.

References

- Anonymous (2022). World Food and Agriculture Statistical Yearbook.
- Attri, M., Sharma N. and Sharma B.C. (2022). Effect of Foliar Application of Nano Urea on Productivity and Profitability of Fine Rice under Irrigated Subtropics of Jammu Region. *Indian J. Ecol.*, **49**(5), 1935-1938.
- Baboo, P. (2021). Nano urea the philosophy of future.
- Benzon, H.R.L., Rubenecia M.R.U., Ultra Jr. V.U. and Lee S.C. (2015). Nano-fertilizer affects the growth, development, and chemical properties of rice. *Int. J. Agron. Agricult. Res.*, 7(1), 105-117.
- Chandana, P., Latha K.R., Chinnamuthu C.R., Malarvizhi P. and Lakshmanan A. (2021). Impact of foliar application of nano nitrogen, zinc and copper on yield and nutrient uptake of rice. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.*, **33**(24), 276-282.
- Ingale, A.G. and Chaudhari A.N. (2013). Biogenic synthesis of nanoparticles and potential applications: an eco-friendly approach. J. Nanomed. Nanotechnol., 4(165), 1-7.
- Kothari, S.K., Saha S. and Mallick P. (2023). Evaluation of foliar application of nano-fertilizers (nitrogen, zinc, copper) on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in *kharif* season. *The Pharma Innov. J.*, **12(6)**, 247-250.
- Kumar, R. and Pandey P. (2014). Nanotechnology for better fertilizer use. *Efficiency Centric Management (ECM) in Agriculture, Uttarakhand, India*, 108-123.
- Kumar, R., Singh A., Yadav R.B., Kumar A., Kumar S., Shahi U.P. and Singh A.P. (2017). Growth, development and yield response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by efficient nitrogen management under subtropical climatic condition. J. Pharmacog. Phytochem., 1, 791–797.
- Ladha, J.K., Pathak H., Krupnik T.J., Six J. and van Kessel C. (2005). Efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen in cereal production: Retrospects and prospects. Adv. Agron., 87,

85-156.

- León-Silva, S., Arrieta-Cortes R., Fernández-Luqueño F. and López-Valdez F. (2018). Design and production of nano fertilizers, *Agricult. Nanobiotechnol.*, 17-31.
- Marasini, S., Joshi T.N. and Amgain L.P. (2016). Direct seeded rice cultivation method: A new technology for climate change and food security. J. Agricult. Environ., 17, 30-38.
- Naderi, M.R. and Danesh-Shahraki A. (2013). Nanofertilizers and their roles in sustainable agriculture. *Int. J. Agricult. Crop Sci.*, **5**, 2229–2232.
- Rahman, M.R., Khan M.A.H. and Mahzabin I.A. (2017). Efficacy and Economics of Urea Spray Technology for a Locally Discovered Rice Cultivar Haridhan in Bangladesh. Amer. J. Plant Biol., 2(4), 136-141.
- Sahu, K.B., Sharma G, Pandey D., Keshry P.K. and Chaure N.K. (2022). Effect of nitrogen management through nanofertilizer in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Int. J. Chem. Res. Develop.*, 4(1), 25-27.
- Shrestha, J., Kandel M., Subedi S. and Shah K.K. (2020). Role of Nutrients in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): A Review. *Agrica*, 9(1), 53–62.
- Singh, V., Singh V. and Singh S. (2020). Effect of Zinc and Silicon on Growth and Yield of Aromatic Rice (*Oryza* sativa) in North-Western Plains of India. J. Rice Res. Develop., 3(1), 82-86.
- Thirunavukkarasu, M. and Subramanian K.S. (2015). Synthesis and characterization of surface modified nano-zeolite fortified with sulphate and its sulfate sorption and desorption pattern. J. Scientif. Industr. Res., **74(12)**, 671– 675.
- Velmurugan, A., Subramanil T., Bommayasamy N., Ramakrishna, Kumar Manoj and Swarnam T.P. (2021).
 The effect of foliar application of Nano-Urea (liquid) on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Andaman Sci. Assoc.*, 26(2), 76-81.
- Yadav, D.N., Kumar R., Verma A. and Kumar P. (2021). Effect of foliar application of nano-fertilizers on soil health and productivity in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Pharma Innov. J.*, **10(12)**, 1263-1265.